Caption of Case) LEONID KADOSHINKOV, Complaintant/Petitioner, V.		$\alpha \cap \alpha$	<i>> - 1</i>				
		BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA COVER SHEET					
				BROAD RIVER ELECTRIC CO INC. AND DUKE ENERGY CA		DOCKET NUMBER: 2009 -	356 ₋ E
				(Please type or print)	,	CCD N 1585	
		Submitted by: Trent N. Pruett		SC Bar Number: 4-5-8-5 Telephone: (864) 488-012			
Address: 202 North Petty Street		(0(4) 400					
		Fax: (864) 488	-000				
Gaffney, South Carolina 29340		Other:					
		Email: pruettlawfirm@bellsouth.net					
NOTE: The cover sheet and informatio as required by law. This form is requir be filled out completely.	on contained herein neither replaces red for use by the Public Service Co	nor supplements the filing and serventhemission of South Carolina for the	purpose of docketing and must				
Emergency Relief demanded Other:		peditiously					
INDUSTRY (Check one)	NATURE OF ACTION (Check all that apply)						
X Electric	Affidavit	Letter	Request				
☐ Electric/Gas	Agreement	Memorandum	Request for Certification				
Electric/Telecommunications	Answer	Motion	Request for Investigation				
Electric/Water	Appellate Review	Objection	Resale Agreement				
Electric/Water/Telecom.	Application	Petition	Resale Amendment				
Electric/Water/Sewer	Brief	Petition for Reconsideration	Reservation Letter				
Gas	Certificate	Petition for Rulemaking	Response				
Railroad	Comments	Petition for Rule to Show Cause	Response to Discovery				
Sewer	Complaint	Petition to Intervene	Return to Petition				
Telecommunications	Consent Order	Petition to Intervene Out of Time	Stipulation				
Transportation	Discovery	Prefiled Testimony	Subpoena				
Water		Promotion	Tariff				
Water/Sewer	Expedited Consideration	Proposed Order	Other:				
Administrative Matter	Interconnection Agreement	Protest					
Other:	Interconnection Amendmen	t Publisher's Affidavit	ECEIVE				
	Late-Filed Exhibit	Report	. को अवस्थित				
			1000 推销				
	Print Form	Reset Form	PSC SC				
		(A)	OCKETING DEPT:				

219069

PRUETT LAW FIRM

Trent N. Bruett, Attorney At Law 202 N. Betty Street Gaf fney, South Carolina 29340 Dhone (864) 488-0120 Fax (864) 488-0803 E-mail pruettlawfirm@bellsouth.net

September 10, 2009

Public Service Commission of South Carolina Attention: Docketing Department Post Office Drawer 11649 Columbia, South Carolina 29211 (CERTIFIED MAIL)

RECHIVED

Office of Regulatory Staff 1401 Main Street, Suite 900 Columbia, South Carolina 29201 (CERTIFIED MAIL)

PSC SC DOCKETING DEPT.

Re: Leonid Kadoshnikov v. Broad River Electric Cooperative, Inc., and Duke Energy Carolinas, Docket No.: 2009-356-E

To Whom It Concerns:

Please find enclosed the Answer of Broad River Electric Cooperative, Inc., in the above cited action. Also enclosed is a Certificate of Service By Mail, which reflects that Broad River Electric Cooperative, Inc., has served the other parties to this action. Please contact my office if you have any questions about this matter.

Sincerely,

Trent N. Pruett

Enclosed: Answer to Complaint/Cover Sheet/Certificate of Service by Mail

c: Leonid Kadoshnikov
 153 Gaines Drive
 Inman, South Carolina 29349
 (CERTIFIED MAIL)

Catherine E. Heigel, Assistant General Counsel Duke Energy Corp. 526 South Church Street, EC03T Charlotte, North Carolina 28202 (CERTIFIED MAIL)

Broad River Electric Cooperative, Inc. Attn: J. Richard Baines, President and CEO Post Office Box 2269 Gaffney, S.C. 29342

Broad River Electric Cooperative, Inc. Attn: Douglas Wilson, Assistant Manager Post Office Box 2269 Gaffney, S.C. 29342

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DOCKET NO.: 2009-356-E

LEONID KADOSHNIKOV,)
Complaintant/Petitioner,))
v.) ANSWER OF THE RESPONDENT,
BROAD RIVER ELECTRIC	BROAD RIVER ELECTRIC
COOPERATIVE, INC. AND DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC,) COOPERATIVE, INC.
Respondents.)
)

The Respondent, Broad River Electric Cooperative, Inc., answering the complaint/petition of the Complaintant/Petitioner, Leonid Kadoshnikov, would respectfully allege and show unto this honorable Commission, the following:

- 1. That each and every allegation set forth in the complaint/petition of the Complaintant/Petitioner, unless admitted, qualified or explained, is hereby denied.
- 2. That the Respondent is informed and believes that the Complaintant/Petitioner, Leonid Kadoshnikov, resides at 158 Gaines Drive, Inman, South Carolina 29349, which is located in Spartanburg County, South Carolina; and that the Complaintant/Petitioner is currently a member of Broad River Electric Cooperative, Inc., and is receiving electric service from the Respondent.

- 3. That the Respondent is an electric cooperative organized under the laws of South Carolina, having its principal offices at 811 Hamrick Street, Gaffney, South Carolina 29340; and that the primary business of the Respondent is to provide electric service to its members, which in South Carolina, are located in Spartanburg County, Newberry County, Union County, and Cherokee County.
- 4. That with respect to the allegations set forth in paragraph one (1) of the complaint/petition in this action, the Respondent admits that there is a rate differential between the current electric rates of the Respondent and Duke Energy Carolinas, but denies that the rates as alleged in the complaint/petition are correct.
- 5. That with respect to the allegations set forth in paragraph two (2) of the complaint/petition in this action, the Respondent denies that the Respondent does not have customer programs to help with costs, efficiency programs, etc.
- 6. That with respect to the allegations set forth in paragraph three (3) of the complaint/petition in this action, the Respondent lacks information to admit or deny the allegations made by the Complaintant/Petitioner as to the spiking of power; however, the Respondent states that the electric service as provided by the Respondent to the Complaintant/Petitioner has been adequate, dependable, and nondiscriminatory.

- 7. That with respect to the allegations set forth in paragraph four (4) of the complaint/petition in this action, the Respondent would respond by stating that the provisions of S.C. Code Ann. \$58-27-610, et seq., also known as the Territorial Assignment Act of 1969, were not enacted during "slavery time"; and that the underlying policy and reasons for which the Territorial Assignment Act of 1969 was originally enacted, are as relevant today as they were in 1969, i.e., to eliminate unnecessary waste and duplication among those entities providing electric service in South Carolina.
- 8. That with respect to the allegations set forth in paragraph five (5) of the complaint/petition in this action, the Respondent lacks information to admit or deny the allegations made as to the heating of the Complaintant/Petitioner's home.
- 9. That with respect to the allegations set forth in paragraphs six (6) and seven (7) of the complaint/petition in this action, which relate to the right of the Respondent to serve the Complaintant/Petitioner and others similarly situated, the Respondent responds by stating that the area in which the Complaintant/Petitioner resides, and receives his electric service, is within the territory assigned by the Public Service Commission to be served by the Respondent, pursuant to the provisions of S.C. Code Ann. \$58-27-610, et seq., also known as the Territorial Assignment Act of 1969. That the principal reason alleged by the

Complaintant/Petitioner's for requesting the transfer or reassignment of his electric service is that Duke Energy Carolinas provides electric service at a lower rate, than the current rate of the Respondent. Such reason does not justify the reassignment of service territory. Additionally, the said complaint/petition does not allege that the electric service as provided by the Respondent to the Complaintant/Petitioner has been inadequate, undependable, or discriminatory; and that the Respondent knows of no facts or circumstances that could give rise to any claim that the Respondent's electric service to the Complaintant/Petitioner has been inadequate, undependable, or discriminatory.

10. That based upon the allegations set forth in the complaint/petition, the Complaintant/Petitioner has not set forth any facts or circumstances upon which the Public Service Commission, could grant the relief as requested by the Complaintant/Petitioner's petition.

WHEREFORE, having answered the complaint/petition of the Complaintant/Petitioner, the Respondent would respectfully request that this honorable Commission deny the relief sought by the Complaintant/Petitioner, and that the complaint/petition be dismissed with prejudice.

June V. Time &

TRENT N. PRUETT, SC BAR NO. 4585
Attorney for the Respondent,
Broad River Electric Cooperative, Inc.
202 North Petty Street
Gaffney, South Carolina 29340
(864) 488-0120 (Telephone)
(864) 488-0803 (Fax)
pruettlawfirm@bellsouth.net

September 10, 2009

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DOCKET NO.: 2009-356-E

LEONID KADOSHNIKOV,

Complaintant/Petitioner,

v.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

BROAD RIVER ELECTRIC

COOPERATIVE, INC. AND

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC,

Respondents.

The undersigned does hereby certify that on September 10, 2009, he deposited in the United States Mail, postage prepaid and by certified mail, the "Answer the Respondent, Broad River Electric Cooperative, Inc." in the above captioned matter, addressed to the following persons:

Public Service Commission of South Carolina Attention: Docketing Department Post Office Drawer 11649 Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Office of Regulatory Staff 1401 Main Street, Suite 900 Columbia, S.C. 29201

Leonid Kadoshnikov 153 Gaines Drive Inman, South Carolina 29349

Catherine E. Heigel, Assistant General Counsel Duke Energy Corp. 526 South Church Street, EC03T Charlotte, North Carolina 28202 Jun N me de

TRENT N. PRUETT, SC BAR NO. 4585
Attorney for the Respondent,
Broad River Electric Cooperative, Inc.
202 North Petty Street
Gaffney, South Carolina 29340
(864) 488-0120 (Telephone)
(864) 488-0803 (Fax)
pruettlawfirm@bellsouth.net

September 10, 2009